

1

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/813,809 Confirmation No.: 7532

Applicant(s): Hutchison et al.

Filed: 03/30/2004 Art Unit: 2618

Examiner: Le, Nhan T.

Title: Mobile Communication Device And A Cover For The Same

Attorney Docket No.: 884A.0090.U1(US)

Customer No.: 29,683

Commissioner For Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Comments On Statement Of Reasons For Allowance

Sir:

Regarding the examiner's reasons for allowance, the examiner cites various claim limitations in his reasons. The claims are entitled to the broadest reasonable interpretation; including equivalents. Thus, the scope of the claims should include equivalents to the limitations explicitly mentioned by the examiner in his for reasons allowance. This interpretation of the claims, to include the broadest reasonable interpretation, should also apply for purposes of the doctrine of equivalents. If the examiner has not been the claim language the broadest reasonable interpretation (including equivalents), than the examiner should address this issue further.



Respectfully submitted,

2/28/07

Mark F. Harrington (Reg. No. 31,686)

Customer No.: 29683 Harrington & Smith, LLP

4 Research Drive

Shelton, CT 06484-6212

203-925-9400

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail on the date shown below in an envelope addressed Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Name of Person Making Deposit